

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,021	04/08/2004	Hakaru Matsui	PHCF-00189DIV	7562
	7590 04/04/200 ELLECTUAL PROPEI	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			IP, SIKYIN	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 2	22182-3817		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AYS	04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/820,021	MATSUI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sikyin Ip	1742	
۔۔ ا Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	?ss
A SHOF WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this comma ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□ Ti 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> nis action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowal osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		ıerits is
Disposition	of Claims			
4a 5) □ C 6) □ C 7) □ C 8) □ C Application 9) □ Th	laim(s) 12-14 is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) 12-14 are subject to restriction and/or a Papers e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according the policant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to	•	
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •	, ,
·	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 12 is, drawn to a process for producing copper-silver alloy wire, classified in class 420, subclass 469+.
- II. Claim 13 is, drawn to a process for producing copper-silver-Mg alloy wire, classified in class 420, subclass 494+.
- III. Claim 14 is, drawn to a process for producing copper-silver-In alloy wire, classified in class 420, subclass 489+.

Inventions I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects such as each of claims 12-14 requires step of adding different alloy compositions.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121; 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v); MPEP §714.02; and MPEP §2411.01(B).

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,021

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. lp March 28, 2007